

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,798	04/25/2005	Takehiko Kishikawa	2005_0600A	5653	
513 WENDEROT	7590 04/02/200 H, LIND & PONACK, I	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W.			COURSON	COURSON, TANIA C	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006-1021	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	.,	2841			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/532,798	KISHIKAWA, TAKEHIKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
TANIA C. COURSON	2841		

	TANIA C. COURSON	2841			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
The period (or reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The data- have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		cted claims.			
NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				

/Christopher W. Fulton/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841

Continuation Sheet:

The applicant's arguments filed on 12 March 2008 are not persuasive. The previously cited prior art is still applicable.

The applicant's argument states that the "Schnell instrument is not capable of measuring an inclination with respect to a vertical direction", lines 18-19 and lines 86-80 of Schnell can be broadly interpreted to allow for capability of measuring inclination with respect to a vertical direction, so as a result the Schnell structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim language.

The applicant's argument also states that the "There is no reason to provide a bubble gauge on the telescoping arm of Schnell". The Thomas reference teaches horizontal as well as vertical measurement, so including a bubble gauge of Thomas to the gauge of Schnell, which is capable of measuring vertical direction, allows for additional inclination measurement accuracy. So as a result the combination of the Schnell and Thomas structure meets the claim language.